From: "Bernard Cleary" <bjcleary@me.com>
Sent: Tue, 31 May 2022 11:46:43 +1000

To: "Huon Valley Council" < hvc@huonvalley.tas.gov.au>

Subject: Representation by Mr R G Hyde & Mr L L Hyde re Draft Huon Valley LPS

Attachments: Mr R G Hyde LPS.pdf

Mr L L Hyde & Mr R G Hyde P.O. Box 204 Franklin TAS 7113

31st May 2022

Your Ref: 2618947

The General Manager Huon Valley Council via hvc@huonvalley.tas.gov.au

Re: Draft Huon Valley Local Provisions Schedule

Dear Sir,

I provide this submission as my formal representation to the above described Planning Scheme proposal with specific regard to the following identified land parcel and associated proposed zoning change.

Title Reference	Interim Planning Scheme	Tasmania Planning Scheme
212656/1	Rural Resource	Landscape Conservation



Figure 1 – Subject Site



Figure 2 – Site Vegetation Coverage

Context:

The 22 ha site is part of a broader landholding owned and managed by the Hyde Family since the 1970's and now in the ownership of Mr R G Hyde & L L Hyde.

The site has continually been used for a range of lawful practices including small cropping, cattle grazing, factory furnace wood, domestic firewood and fence post collecting, weed management, fire management practices etc.

It is noted such practices are evidenced as having been both suitable and sustainable in that the subject landholding is now under consideration for inclusion in the proposed "landscape Conservation Zone".

Section 22.1 of the TPS SPP identifies the purpose of the Landscape Conservation Zone is;

- 22.1.1 To provide for the protection, conservation and management of landscape values; &
- 22.1.2 To provide for compatible use or development that does not adversely impact on the protection, conservation and management of the landscape values.

Criteria for inclusion in Landscape Conservation Zone (LCZ)

The HVC LPS Supporting Report details the rational, selection criteria and process for determining which specific sites should be represented in the LCS, including:

is in the specime stees should be represented in the ses, including.

80% native vegetation cover was used as the minimum coverage for selection as

potential LCZ properties. (page 40)

Suitability for subject sites inclusion in LCZ

As identified in figure 2, this site does not appear as having 80% native vegetation cover as a result of previous lawful practices. Land to the south of Coopers Road includes extensive

cleared areas consistent with past Rural Resource practices. The cleared area is estimated at

greater than 20% of the site.

Whilst the 22ha parcel includes some areas which contribute to Landscape Conservation

values, primarily due to sustainable and appropriate past practices, it is these rural resource practices and current zone policy that has underpinned and enhanced the landscape values

over time.

Limiting this sites policy intent to the narrower Landscape Conservation focus may itself be

problematic in attaining that suitable balance between conservation and resource

management practice as has existed for many decades.

Accordingly, the suitability of this site to effectively represent the intent of the LCS is questioned. It does not appear to appropriately meet the scale criteria for LCZ

consideration.

Conclusion

Accordingly, I request this site REMAIN in the Rural Zone and NOT BE included in the

Landscape Conservation Zone as proposed.

I thank you for the opportunity to provide this submission and I look forward to your

consideration and determinations of matters raised.

Yours faithfully

Bernard Cleary

Town Planner

For & behalf of Mr R G Hyde & Mr L L Hyde.