

---

**From:** Barbara Kameniar [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, 4 May 2026 5:42 PM  
**To:** Kingborough LPS  
**Subject:** Submission re: recommended re-zoning of Tinderbox East

Dear Nick Heath - Delegate (Chair)

Thank you for the recent reminder regarding the opportunity to provide comment on the proposed change of zoning of a section of [REDACTED] to Landscape Conservation Zone (LCZ).

While we are signatories to the two collective submissions, we would like to add our own concerns and comments regarding the proposed rezoning.

### Issues with the proposed rezoning

- It is unclear what issue/problem is being addressed by the proposed change. Planning and land management practices already require engagement with Council and Kingborough Council already ensures that environmental and landscape values are protected and conserved.
- Areas of [REDACTED] that have been removed from the initial LCZ proposal in response to the Ireneinc report do not differ significantly from what is retained in the now significantly reduced LCZ. The decision seems somewhat arbitrary and difficult to justify given that all of the properties on [REDACTED] vary in terms of use e.g., hobby farms, spas, people who work from home, residential, etc., and vegetation coverage.
- There is a real and justified concern that being within an LCZ will impact landowners' ability to secure and fund insurance.
- Burden resulting from the rezoning falls entirely at the feet of a handful of landowners on sections of [REDACTED]. Given that landholders already care for the landscape and conservation value of the area and are required to engage with Council regarding any proposed changes, there appear to be no benefits to the area or the people who reside there from the proposed rezoning.

### Suggestions should rezoning proceed

Should Council genuinely believe that rezoning the proposed section of [REDACTED] will result in significant landscape and conservation value to the area then the following should occur:

1. The proposed section should be gated and only local residents should be able to drive through the gates between dawn and dusk. This would greatly reduce the number of animal deaths on the road and ensure the recent practice of doing "burnouts" will no longer be possible. It would have the added benefit of limiting the amount of rubbish that is left on the side of the road by non-locals that locals pick up and take to the dump (because we do not have rubbish collection).
2. Ensure all feral cats, dogs and other non-native pests are removed from the area. This may entail the need for some fencing of the peninsula but it would enhance the safety and well-being of our

wildlife and support the landscape and conservation value of the peninsula - the rabbits are a problem.

3. Council should work closely with locals within the LCZ to ensure fire management is undertaken and dams are maintained. These activities should be paid for by Council to offset the additional costs of insurance by landowners, as recognition for the contribution locals make to the landscape and conservation value of the area, and to show Council's commitment to protecting zones of significant landscape and conservation value.

Thank you again for the opportunity to make a submission.

Best wishes,

Barbara Kameniar (and Paul Sandery)

[REDACTED]

Tinderbox