
From: edward powlett <powlettedward@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, 30 September 2023 4:51 PM
To: Latrobe Council
Subject: Draft amendment 1.1 to substantially modify the Latrobe Local provisions schedule -Rural living zone D.

To the general manager.

In regards to the proposed amendment to zone D we would have no objection.

However we would be strongly opposed to anything less.

It is interesting that the TPC made a decision back in May and we did not receive notification until the 26th of September.

We would like to be informed of any future hearings so that we can make representations as required.

Ms Rockliff has claimed that a road through her original planning application linking to East Glen is desperately wanted by the community. This is utter nonsense. The residents of East Glen would suddenly have a lot of traffic on their previously quiet road and residents of East Glen and Summerhill drive who already find it difficult to turn onto Port Sorell road would have even more problems. As far as emergency service vehicles go the road is not suitable because of the two 90 degree dog legs in East Glen. There is already access for these vehicles at the end of Hawk Hill Rd. These issues were a big part of the original opposition to the first application to develop the land at this location. We have seen the council talk of reducing the speed limit on the Squeezing Point Rd as people use it to avoid the reduced speed limit on Port Sorell road. What do they think will happen when people have a chance to avoid the roundabouts and reduced speed limit on Alexander St.

It would seem that the council might be desperate to get someone to pay for a road through to Port Sorell Rd as they have not been able to progress with Pink st and the land at the end of Burgess drive that is zoned residential has no way out except via the Burgess drive industrial area.

E.Powlett.