From: Jenny Cambers-Smith < jenny.camberssmith@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, 5 June 2023 7:29 PM

To: TPC Enquiry

Subject: Comments on currently exhibited Draft Tasmanian Planning Policies

Attachments: Comments on Draft Tasmanian Planning Policies Jun 23.pdf

Categories:

Hi there

Please find attached my personal submission to the Draft Tasmanian Planning Policies.

Many thanks

Jenny Cambers-Smith



Native wildlife videos from our property

Facebook * YouTube * Instagram

Comments on Draft Tasmanian Planning Policies – June 2023

General: TPPs use advisory language only with no regulatory enforcement or carry-through into the current Tasmanian Planning Scheme. I'm in general agreement with the published submission by the Bicycle Network.

| Good points | Bad points |
|--|---|
| Pg 9 Climate Change Statement – | Pg 10 Growth – no mention of what might be a sustainable population |
| mention of networking of green spaces; | level for Tasmania as a whole, individual settlements or regional |
| rain-absorbing surfaces; encouraging | areas. Talks only of making land available for 15 years of population |
| urban vegetation; consolidation of | growth – no mention of freeing up existing vacant land and empty |
| settlements; energy-efficient design; | houses. This willingness to keep supplying new land for housing |
| public and active transport networks | (regardless of whether it is bought by investors or people who need a |
| | roof over their head), is incompatible with the climate change |
| | statement and objectives. |
| Pg 10 Growth – Infill prioritised; | Pg 11 Growth – 'need for movement networks and street hierarchy |
| densification; integration with transport; | and pedestrian and cycling paths' needs enshrining in codes and regs, |
| discouragement of residential uses far | eg the Tasmanian Standard Drawings. Needs picking up by State |
| from services and transport networks. | Growth whose roads are often bad for active transport. |
| Pg 11 Growth – need for movement | Pg 17 Design – nothing to back up positive policy statements on |
| networks and street hierarchies for | energy efficient and future-proofed housing design, ie they need to be |
| pedestrian and cycling. | translated into the State Planning Provisions and Tasmanian Building |
| , | Codes, otherwise nothing will change. It is not enough to include |
| | these as 'nice to haves', they need regulating and enforcing. |
| Page 13 Liveability – emphasis on | Page 35 Economic Development - Still using the language of economic |
| integrated transport, urban forests; | 'growth', which is incompatible with the climate change policies and |
| community gardens; green roofs; open | bears almost no relationship with the wellbeing and liveability |
| space networks; reducing car | objectives, since 'growth' does nothing to challenge equality. Likewise, |
| dependency; 'open and green spaces | continued growth is unsustainable as we are already greatly exceeding |
| close to and within residential areas and | planetary boundaries. |
| activity centres '. | |
| Page 17 Design – references to energy | Page 40 Renewable Energy – I'm not in favour of policies to support |
| efficiency; sustainable housing design. | the further production of renewable energy for export to the |
| | mainland (or to allow polluting and energy inefficient industries to not |
| | clean up their acts). It is not cost- or energy- efficient. It doesn't create |
| | long-term jobs for Tasmanians and passes on the costs of |
| | environmental damage to Tasmania. Incompatible with the objective |
| | of intergenerational equity. |
| Page 18 Design – "climatically-responsive | Page 41 Renewable Energy – not all 'bioenergy' is either carbon- |
| orientation" | neutral or environmentally friendly, eg if it is from the clearfelling of |
| | native forests or uses agricultural land better suited to food |
| | production. Also bionergy tends to produce emissions during its use, |
| | whereas true renewable energy does not. |
| Page 46 Physical Infrastructure – "sharing | Page 46 Physical Infrastructure (provision of services) – amazingly, this |
| of road space to support increased | is the first (and last) mention of 'recycling and waste management'. In |
| uptake of more sustainable transport | the sections that mention community gardens etc, it is worth referring |
| modes" | to local composting facilities and recycling/ container refund scheme |
| | kiosks. |
| Page 50 Physical Infrastructure – car | Page 50 Physical Infrastructure – why only an active transport network |
| parking reduction (to encourage mode- | in 'urban' areas? The rural population needs active transport just as |
| shifting) and EV charging facilities. | much if not more, since 'end of trip' routes are often on unsealed |
| | roads with no walking/ cycling shoulder. Active transport tracks are |
| | vital if mode shift in rural areas is to be achieved. |
| | Page 50 Physical Infrastructure – Bus stops need to be comfortable in |
| | different weather conditions and the most utilised ones need safe, |
| | undercover facilities for cycle-parking. E-bikes should be encouraged |
| | with charging facilities at bus stops or other convenient nodes. |
| | J 0 |