

[REDACTED]

From: Michael Wilson [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, 8 January 2024 6:19 PM
To: TPC Enquiry
Subject: Macquarie Point Multipurpose Stadium draft guidelines

Categories: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Dear Commissioner

Thank you for the opportunity for public comment. I have used the draft guidelines' clause numbering in my response.

1.1.2 - I support the inclusion of key reasons for the chosen location of the proposed project, this should include reasons relating to the unsuitability of any key alternative sites.

3.2 - EIA should, as far as possible, consider the economic impacts of Tasmania having AFL and AFLW clubs (similar to 3.4 for social and cultural analysis), just as it should consider economic impacts of other likely uses of the proposed project. The AFL has made it clear that Tasmania's licence has been granted conditional on building the stadium, so the effects of these clubs on our economy cannot be ignored. The Commission cannot reasonably assume the economic impacts of the clubs can be obtained without the proposed project.

3.3 - FIA should as far as possible consider additional tax revenues to the state government resulting from the proposed project and its economic impacts.

3.4 - I support the consideration of the social and cultural impacts of Tasmania having AFL and AFLW clubs, just as it should consider social and cultural impacts of other likely uses of the proposed project. The AFL has made it clear that Tasmania's licence has been granted conditional on building the stadium, so the effects of these clubs on our society and culture cannot be ignored. The Commission cannot reasonably assume the social and cultural impacts of the clubs can be obtained without the proposed project. Giving this issue consideration would not (as other comments have claimed) put the Commission at risk of (reasonable) public perception that it endorses the AFL's position on a conditional licence.

3.4 - Any assessment of impacts on the Tasmanian Aboriginal community should be inclusive and consider members of the Tasmanian Aboriginal community that are not affiliated with the Tasmanian Aboriginal Centre, which does not represent a large proportion of the Tasmanian Aboriginal community.

4.2 - I support the inclusion of accurate assessment of building height and form. There has been much misinformation about the building height and form when viewed from several locations around Sullivans Cove and it is important the Commission can consider accurate height and form information.

4.2 - Analysis of building height and form should take into account the character of the area, including the diverse modern architecture (not just the historic architecture), the positive contribution the juxtaposition between different architectural styles/eras makes to the overall character of the area, and how the proposed project can add to this.

5.2 - Any assessment of impacts on Aboriginal heritage should be inclusive and consider members of the Tasmanian Aboriginal community that are not affiliated with the Tasmanian Aboriginal Centre, which does not represent a large proportion of the Tasmanian Aboriginal community.

8.2.2 - I support the inclusion of accurate scale diagrams depicting shadowing. There has been much misinformation about the potential for shadowing of nearby sites (including sites north of the stadium) and it is important the Commission can consider accurate shadowing information.

Thank you for your consideration

Michael Wilson