

From: Irene Duckett
Sent: Monday, 3 February 2025 9:26 AM
To: Ikin, Benjamin
Cc: Finely Zhang; Greg Hudson; Irene Duckett
Subject: Response to draft Assessment Criteria
Attachments: Response to draft Assessment Criteria.pdf

Dear Ben

Please find response to assessment criteria, on behalf of Chambroad.

Regards
Irene Duckett

Irene Duckett
DIRECTOR, Planning Tas Pty Ltd
FPIA CPP GAICD

ireneinc PLANNING & URBAN DESIGN
49 Tasma Street
North Hobart TAS 7001
Tel 03 6234 9281
Fax 03 6231 4727
Mob 0418 346283
Email planning@ireneinc.com.au
Website ireneinc.com.au



TASMANIA
PLANNING INSTITUTE OF AUSTRALIA
AWARDS FOR PLANNING EXCELLENCE 2020





31st January 2025

Pam Allen
Chair
Development Assessment Panel
Tasmanian Planning Commission
144 Macquarie Street
Hobart TASMANIA 7000

Attention Ben Ikin: Benjamin.Ikin@planning.tas.gov.au

MAJOR PROJECT - DRAFT ASSESSMENT CRITERIA - KANGAROO BAY

Dear Ben,

Thank you for the opportunity to meet recently to discuss the draft Assessment Criteria. The purpose of this submission is to formally provide feedback summarising our concerns:

1. 2.1 Car Parking and Access

Criterion d) requires connectivity to be provided between the Marina and Kangaroo Drive.

As you are aware now from our discussion, there is intervening land which is not in the ownership of Chambroad, and over which Chambroad Australia has no control. We request that this point be clarified to say that access through the site should enable future or potential connectivity through adjacent sites.

2. 4.1 Aboriginal Heritage

As discussed, in the absence of clear legislative guidance on process and criteria, we seek some clarification on the process, key groups for consultation, and context of known aboriginal meanings associated with the landscape character.

Whilst we appreciate that the original correspondence from the AHT (Parts 1 & 2) has been provided, this is non-statutory and does not override or provide a formal framework for the Criterion.

We seek that this somehow be formalised, either through a “non-statutory” appendix, or with greater clarification within the criterion. We also ask that any of the advice referred to in relation to the criterion to which the Panel can have regard, is also made available for us to consider.

3. Preamble (c) (iii) – application of draft TPPs

Whilst we acknowledge that LUPAA requires that the proposal is not to be in contravention of any TPP, the draft TPPs are not yet in effect, and the effective date is unknown.

As the Act does not make any transitional provisions, we would appreciate that some clarification or guidance is provided in the Criteria:

- Firstly, that only any “valid” TPPs must be complied with, or any TPP which has “come into effect”,
- Secondly, any consideration from the Panel in relation to transitional arrangements, and at which point the application is considered complete and would not be required to go back and assess the compliance with the TPPs at any point of the process prior to a decision being made.

We look forward to your Assessment Panel finalising the Assessment Criteria and we look forward to work with you to progress the MPIS.

Yours faithfully,

A handwritten signature in purple ink, appearing to read 'Irene Duckett', is written over a light blue rectangular background.

Irene Duckett FPIA, GAICD
Director
Planning Tas Pty Ltd