

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** Jane Davis [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Sunday, 7 January 2024 4:24 PM  
**To:** TPC Enquiry  
**Subject:** Submission - Integrated Assessment for proposed Stadium at Macquarie Point

**Categories:** [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Tasmanian Planning Commission  
GPO Box 1691  
Hobart 7001

Dear TPC,

### **Integrated Assessment for proposed Stadium at Macquarie Point**

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the guidelines. However I vigorously protest at the poorly timed (during a major holiday period) and appallingly short consultation period imposed by the relevant Minister.

I fully support the detailed submission response by the No New Stadium Group regarding in the draft guidelines.

I ask the Commission to take heed of the call for independence and transparency as part of the process. It is after all an obscene amount of tax payer money you propose to use leaving the state with a bigger debt for years. I am certain that the Tasmanian population is not large enough to support the stadium, whether for the AFL games or the few large events which may come to Tasmania. It is not financially viable for these other events to come to Tasmania as we dont have the numbers to support them.

All reports to satisfy all requirements of this assessment should be required to be produced by independent practitioners, free from government influence. PwC (PricewaterhouseCoopers) ought be specifically excluded. Further, all should be required to be prepared in accordance with expert witness practice

notes such as apply in the Supreme Court of Tasmania, the Federal Court of Australia and TASCAT. All assessments should be produced in a way that the practitioners are required to be independent and to do this work owing a duty to the Panel to be independent. Communications with the practitioners producing the reports should be required to be in writing and provided with the report. There is no shortage of examples of government influence on the production of reports and the preparation of materials for a project such as this.

This is especially so where the State is the proponent, funder, and where the Government itself has a significant investment in the outcome of this assessment. See the Senate's current Finance and Public Administration Committee Inquiry into management and assurance of integrity by consulting services (Consulting services):

[https://www.aph.gov.au/Parliamentary\\_Business/Committees/Senate/Finance\\_and\\_Public](https://www.aph.gov.au/Parliamentary_Business/Committees/Senate/Finance_and_Public)

Administration/Consultingservices

Yours sincerely

Jane DAVIS

I have read and fully endorse the submission made by Our Place - Hobart.

Yours faithfully,

Jane Davis  
[REDACTED]