

Carolyn Rimmer

From: Bob Simmons <bobsimmons@bigpond.com>
Sent: Thursday, 6 July 2023 9:52 AM
To: LPS submissions
Subject: Representation Kentish Provisions for Tasmanian Planning scheme
Attachments: Representation_Kentish Planning_Zone_classification_Careys_Road.pdf

You don't often get email from bobsimmons@bigpond.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

The manager

Dear Sir

Please find attached our representation associated with the above referenced Intended Kentish local provisions for the Tasmanian Planning scheme.

Could you please acknowledge receipt of the attachment .

Many thanks

Bob Simmons



Virus-free. www.avast.com

225 Careys Road, West Kentish
Tasmania 7306
05/07/2023
Attention: The General Manager

Re: Representation Draft Kentish Local Provisions

Further to receipt of your advice regarding the above mentioned Kentish Draft Local Provisions.

We submit below for your consideration our request for an alteration to the LPS zone map.

I refer to the property located on both 60 Browns & 194 Careys Road West Kentish.

Eagles Nest Retreat the title references are as follows.

C.O.T. 178707 Folios 1, 2, 3

The owners of the property insist that they operate a farming operation complete with property identification (PIC) MEKE0342.

The owners have clearly gone on record as being farmers have a farm with their core activity being cattle fattening & tourist development.

Their whole development schedule has been made on the premise that they are an agricultural enterprise.

You presently have the property zoned as "Rural".

The holding is surrounded by both a substantial dairy farm and a cattle fattening enterprise which has been zoned "Agriculture".

The soil is the same, the environment the same.

The property we refer to has as its core activity, farming and farm stay which has been articulated a number of times in submissions to council.

Why the mismatch?

The holding has history with a number of incomplete building applications presently issued for the site along with a number of ensuing applications for change. They are operating in close proximity to larger commercial farming entities and insist that their operation is a farm.

Given that the criterion for a property alteration in an Agricultural Zone is more onerous than that of a Rural Zone, one would expect that it would be more equitable and consistent to zone them all Agricultural and avoid any inequities, potential conflict or misunderstanding.

There is just no difference between theirs and the adjacent property's mentioned, except for size, therefore there is that need for consistency in the zoning.

We respectfully request that the property as referenced above be changed to an Agricultural Zoning consistent with that of the surrounding farming enterprises.

We would be pleased to meet with you at your convenience should you require any further details.

Yours Faithfully



Bob Simmons