Our Ref: 7037236

1 1



12 June 2020

Mr Scott D Woodroffe & Mrs Margaret Woodroffe Goodwood Tree Farm 39 Moore Street Westbury TAS 7303

index	No.	308	Voltas aanteen	13-0	202	
Doc No.						
ŘCV"I	mentana ur re alan internazione	15	JU	N 20	20	MVC
Action Officer Jo		Dept.		エ		
EO				OD	V	

Dear Sir/Madam

## RE: Subdivision and future options for the southern area of Westbury

The Tasmanian Planning Commission is considering what the rules for subdivision should be for the southern area of Westbury as we move to a state-wide planning scheme, known as the Tasmanian Planning Scheme.

As part of this process Council is required to provide an official recommendation to the Tasmanian Planning Commission regarding subdivision in the southern area of Westbury.

Council is currently considering whether or not it should support changes to the rules for subdivision in this area.

Council currently operates under the 2013 planning rules that were changed to allow large lots to be subdivided into smaller lots. Council is aware that there are mixed views about subdivision in the southern area of Westbury and has received numerous submissions from residents in the area, seeking to stop subdivision.

As a landowner in this area, Council is contacting you directly to get a better understanding of your views about subdivision.

Typically, subdivision results in a changed look and feel of an area with more people and more houses. Some subdivisions are achieved through a combination of 'battle-axe' blocks and blocks that front a road (see attached diagram).

Some people are concerned that subdivision has a negative impact on the character and feel of an area and detracts from the lifestyle benefits associated with larger lots that may have motivated people to live in the area. Others think that this has a positive effect as it attracts more people to live in Westbury, offers more lifestyle opportunities and provides the ability for landowners to realise the value of their land.

## We would like to know your views on the following questions:

1. Do you think that subdivision should be allowed	d in this area?
Please tell us why: / / ////////////////////////////////	reasing the rate borden
future rate payors thus in	reasing the rate borden
on current tate payers -	the historical nature of
this orea will not be los	t as you cannot choose
history	and the same of th

2. If you think subdivision should be allowed:  Do you have a view on what the lot size should be? E.g. 5 acre blocks into two lots, or 1 acre blocks or something else?  Min block area should be 5000 fg. melses
Do you think battle-axe blocks should be allowed?  18. The correct femonolgy is internal block!
Should subdivision rules be the same throughout the area or should specific areas provide for smaller lot sizes or larger lot sizes?
3. Are there any visual features of the area that you would like to see protected?  For example – larger distances between houses, hedgerows, trails along some unmade road reserves. Choler current by here planning - larger and made of the control of the planning of the control of the contro
It is important for Council to understand how landowners in this area feel about subdivision, as it needs to determine if there is a strong enough view to pursue changes in the future.
The changes to the subdivision rules proposed by the Tasmanian Planning Commission are currently being advertised and can be viewed on Council's website <a href="https://www.meander.tas.gov.au">www.meander.tas.gov.au</a> under 'latest news' – Tasmanian Planning Scheme - <i>Draft Meander Valley Local Provisions Schedule</i> .
The timeframe to put forward a view about subdivision rules in this area is between <b>Monday 15 June and Thursday 13 August 2020</b> .
Your answers to the above questions will remain private in accordance with Council's Personal Information Protection Policy.
However, if you would like your answers to be included in the Tasmanian Planning Commission process, they must then be included in a future report to a Council meeting. This means they will be public.
Would you like your answers to be included as part of the Tasmanian Planning Commission process for the new planning scheme?  Yes  No
Yours faithfully  Mease see allachement  John Jordan

**GENERAL MANAGER** 

I feel strongly that the views of what appears to be a minority ob not take precedence over due process.

It oppears that some people's views are that It should be feft as saire horse riding paddocks- and gorse fields. That in my view is a Selfish stance.

These soldier scallement grants from the Boerlar were unviable as holdings from the get go and still are.

To deprive fature Nestbary residents (and current)
from realising the value of their holding is short
sighted to say the least - One day in the fature Meander Valley will lise the rate pase in the Propert Area to Gréater Courseston a. If we lock out fature developement the rate cost will fallon an unviable number to support what is needed

Swott Woodraft